

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,969	03/01/2006	Thomas Ficker	017P34WOUS	7341
30008 7590 99/02/2009 GUDRUN E. HUCKETT DRAUDT SCHUBERTSTR. 15A			EXAMINER	
			OMGBA, ESSAMA	
WUPPERTAL, 42289 GERMANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/563 969 FICKER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Essama Omoba 3726 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 14.16-20 and 22-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14.16-20 and 22-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/563,969

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 14, 16-20 and 22-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior art (AAPA) in view of Turner (US Patent 4,790,471).

With regards to claims 14, 29 and 30, Applicant, at pages 1 and 2 of the specification to be known as AAPA, discloses a cold rolling method for producing an annular composite workpiece wherein a first hollow cylindrical workpiece is inserted into a second hollow cylindrical workpiece, the workpieces being made of different materials. and axial roll forming a composite workpiece of the first and second hollow cylindrical workpieces. AAPA does not disclose the first and second workpieces having radial play relative to one another when inserted into one another and the axial roll forming being performed by pressing the first and second hollow cylindrical workpieces against each other between two diametrically opposed outer roll forming tools and an inner rolling arbor or between two diametrically opposed outer roll forming tools and an inner roll forming tool. However Turner teaches roll forming a clad tubing by inserting a mandrel in a subassembly made of two hollow cylindrical workpieces 10 and 14, and roll forming a clad tubing using a bank of opposing rolls to metallically bond the workpieces, wherein there is radial play when the workpieces are inserted into one another, see column 3. lines 9-14 and column 4, lines 43-52. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/563,969

Art Unit: 3726

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used the set-up taught by Turner in axial roll forming the annular composite workpiece of AAPA, in order to achieve an excellent metallic bond between the workpieces.

Regarding claims 16-18, applicant should note that the method of AAPA could be used for rings or pipes, the rings or pipes being either loosely inserted into each other or having radial play relative to one another such that they can barely be inserted by hand.

Regarding claims 19 and 20, see column 2, lines 59-62 of Turner. Regarding the recitation of the layer being an aluminum layer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have selected whatever material was expedient in the method of AAPA/Turner, since it has been held to be the general skill level of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. See also *Ballas Liquidating Co. v. Allied industries of Kansas, Inc.* (DC Kans) 205 USPQ 331.

Regarding claims 22-28, see page 1 of the specification. Applicant should note that Official Notice is taken in that such composite workpieces are conventional in the art

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14, 16-20 and 22-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/563,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Essama Omgba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3726

eo August 31, 2009